The reconstructive ladder has been a traditional teaching model for the selection of reconstructive surgery options for many years. Some publications in recent decades have proposed that the reconstructive ladder is no longer applicable, because many new adjunctive reconstructive options are difficult to incorporate into it. An alternative method of thinking about the integration of these adjunctive techniques in the reconstructive ladder is the horizontal reconstructive ladder. This ladder, as an adjunct to the traditional vertical reconstructive ladder, has the potential and vertical adaptability to assist in teaching the reconstructive arsenal of the plastic surgeon.

The reconstructive ladder has been a traditional teaching model for the selection of reconstructive surgery options for many years. Some publications in recent decades have proposed that the reconstructive ladder is no longer applicable, because many new adjunctive reconstructive options are difficult to incorporate into it.

Some authors have suggested adding additional or adjunctive procedures into the vertical ladder. This did not make good sense, and some have proposed that the vertical ladder be abandoned. A reconstructive elevator model was proposed as a better way of selecting reconstructive options. Several other methods have also been previously proposed.

**Proposed concept**

An alternative way of thinking about the integration of adjunctive techniques with the reconstructive ladder is their incorporation into a horizontal reconstructive ladder.

Several adjuncts that assist with wound closure have been developed in recent years, such as negative pressure wound therapy, wound therapies such as hyperbaric oxygen, tissue expansion, osteodistraction, lipofilling and epidermal and dermal skin substitutes. These adjuncts were not part of the original vertical reconstructive ladder.

This horizontal ladder can be applied at any level of the vertical reconstructive ladder, as was previously proposed in the reconstructive elevator concept.

The combined horizontal and vertical reconstructive ladder is dynamic and versatile, and is shown in Fig. 1.

**Discussion**

The reconstructive ladder, over the years, has had to adapt to changes in surgical options as they were developed and found a place in the skill set of the reconstructive surgeon. Some have suggested that reconstruction options be considered in stages, as an alternative to the reconstructive ladder, but this has not been accepted by all.

Adding adjunctive treatments directly onto the vertical ladder, where their position would need to be fixed, would create problems for the reconstructive surgeon. It would take away the flexibility (plasticity) in reconstructive option selection that reconstructive surgeons require in practice. The versatility of these adjuncts is therefore best illustrated by a horizontal ladder that can be...
adjusted to any vertical level. Practically, the use of a horizontal option should lead to fewer requirements on the vertical ladder. For example, if negative pressure is applied to a large open wound, where traditionally one would require a flap to cover the defect, a simple skin graft may be adequate after the wound bed has granulated. The horizontal options thus assist by decreasing the demands on the vertical ladder.

The vertical ladder is still a useful way to think about the ease or complexity of closing defects. Donor sites have different morbidities, and a skin graft may still be easier to use than a local flap. Ultimately, however, donor site and ease of surgery are not the only determinants in choice of reconstruction. For example, when reconstructing a nose after a tumour excision, a skin graft may give a suboptimal cosmetic result, with possibly less donor site morbidity than a local flap of good quality and texture. Multiple variables may thus affect the best choice of reconstructive option for a patient.

The ladders should not be used as absolute rules, but rather as guidelines for reconstructive options. The vertical options reflect an increase in complexity. The horizontal options could assist in decreasing the complexity of the vertical requirement, by decreasing the vertical level. At the core of great and successful reconstructions lies the ability of the reconstructive surgeon to select the best option for the individual patient, holistically. In this regard, Sandberg proposed a plastic surgery compass, to consider factors such as risk (south), anatomical problems (west), procedural complexity (north) and personal factors (east).[8]

With many new advances in wound care, one would expect that new adjuncts to assist with wound care and reconstructive options will develop. These can be added to the ladders where they best fit.

Conclusion

The horizontal reconstructive ladder as an adjunct to the traditional vertical reconstructive ladder has the potential, and vertical plasticity, to assist in teaching, and toaugment the reconstructive arsenal of the surgeon. It is simple and easy to teach.
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